



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on January 7, 2011, the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail sent to the tenant's rental unit address. The landlord provided a Canada Post receipt and tracking number as evidence of service. Section 90 of the Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after mailing.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of possession?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on September 3, 2010, indicating a monthly rent of \$750.00 due on or before the first day of the month; and

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on December 11, 2010, with a stated effective vacancy date of December 27, 2010, for \$1,085.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant has failed to pay rent owed and was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by registered mail on December 11, 2010. A copy of a Canada Post receipt and tracking number was provided as evidence of service. The Act deems the tenant was served on the fifth day after mailing; December 16, 2010.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

The application indicated that the tenant has not paid December rent; the landlord has claimed \$1,085.00 in unpaid rent.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on December 16, 2010.

Section 53 of the Act allows the effective date of a Notice to be changed to the earliest date upon which the Notice complies with the Act; therefore, I find that the Notice effective date is changed to December 26, 2010.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the Act; however, the landlord has not provided details of the amount of unpaid rent claimed beyond December, 2010. Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to compensation in the sum of \$750.00 for unpaid December, 2010, rent. The balance of the monetary claim is dismissed.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice; December 26, 2010.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of possession and a monetary Order for unpaid December, 2010, rent.



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 3

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

Conclusion

I find, pursuant to section 55 of the Act, that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service** on the tenant and the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 in the amount of **\$750.00** December, 2010, rent owed and I grant an Order in that amount. This Order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an Order of that Court.

The balance of the monetary claim is dismissed.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 13, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch