DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant for return of the security deposit and recovery of the filing fee. The tenant participated in the conference call hearing but the landlord did not. The tenant presented evidence that the landlord was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail. I found that the landlord had been properly served with notice of the tenant's claim and the date and time of the hearing and the hearing proceeded in their absence.

Issues to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to any of the above under the Act.

Summary of Background and Evidence

This tenancy started November 1, 2009 with monthly rent of \$900.00, the tenant paid a security deposit of \$460.00.

The tenant testified that the landlord had been provided the forwarding address in writing for return of the security deposit however the landlord withheld \$270.00 from the \$460.00 security deposit without the tenant's consent.

The tenant stated that neither a move-in or move-out condition inspection was completed by the landlord. The tenant maintains that the apartment was cleaned and left in an acceptable manner.

The tenant is requesting return of double the security deposit in this application.

Law

Residential Tenancy Act Section 23 Condition inspection: start of tenancy or new pet

- (1) The landlord and tenant together must inspect the condition of the rental unit on the day the tenant is entitled to possession of the rental unit or on another mutually agreed day.
- (2) The landlord and tenant together must inspect the condition of the rental unit on or before the day the tenant starts keeping a pet or on another mutually agreed day, if

- (a) the landlord permits the tenant to keep a pet on the residential property after the start of a tenancy, and
- (b) a previous inspection was not completed under subsection (1).
- (3) The landlord must offer the tenant at least 2 opportunities, as prescribed, for the inspection.
- (4) The landlord must complete a condition inspection report in accordance with the regulations.
- (5) Both the landlord and tenant must sign the condition inspection report and the landlord must give the tenant a copy of that report in accordance with the regulations.
- (6) The landlord must make the inspection and complete and sign the report without the tenant if
 - (a) the landlord has complied with subsection (3), and
 - (b) the tenant does not participate on either occasion.

Residential Tenancy Act Section 38 Return of security deposit and pet damage deposit

- (1) Except as provided in subsection (3) or (4) (a), within 15 days after the later of
 - (a) the date the tenancy ends, and
 - (b) the date the landlord receives the tenant's forwarding address in writing, the landlord must do one of the following:
 - (c) repay, as provided in subsection (8), any security deposit or pet damage deposit to the tenant with interest calculated in accordance with the regulations;
 - (d) make an application for dispute resolution claiming against the security deposit or pet damage deposit.
- (2) Subsection (1) does not apply if the tenant's right to the return of a security deposit or a pet damage deposit has been extinguished under section 24 (1) [tenant fails to participate in start of tenancy inspection] or 36 (1) [tenant fails to participate in end of tenancy inspection].
- (3) A landlord may retain from a security deposit or a pet damage deposit an amount that
 - (a) the director has previously ordered the tenant to pay to the landlord, and
 - (b) at the end of the tenancy remains unpaid.
- (4) A landlord may retain an amount from a security deposit or a pet damage deposit if,
 - (a) at the end of a tenancy, the tenant agrees in writing the landlord may retain the amount to pay a liability or obligation of the tenant, or
 - (b) after the end of the tenancy, the director orders that the landlord may retain the amount.
- (5) The right of a landlord to retain all or part of a security deposit or pet damage deposit under subsection (4) (a) does not apply if the liability of the tenant is in relation to damage and the landlord's right to claim for damage against a security deposit or a pet damage deposit has been extinguished under section 24 (2) [landlord failure to meet start of tenancy condition report requirements] or 36 (2) [landlord failure to meet end of tenancy condition report requirements].
- (6) If a landlord does not comply with subsection (1), the landlord
 - (a) may not make a claim against the security deposit or any pet damage deposit, and

- (b) must pay the tenant double the amount of the security deposit, pet damage deposit, or both, as applicable.
- (7) If a landlord is entitled to retain an amount under subsection (3) or (4), a pet damage deposit may be used only for damage caused by a pet to the residential property, unless the tenant agrees otherwise.
- (8) For the purposes of subsection (1) (c), the landlord must use a service method described in section 88 (c), (d) or (f) [service of documents] or give the deposit personally to the tenant.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the documentary evidence and undisputed testimony of the tenant, I find on a balance of probabilities that the tenant has met the burden of proving that they have grounds for entitlement to a monetary order for return of double the security deposit.

I find that the tenant has established a monetary claim for \$730.00.

The tenant is entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee.

Conclusion

I find that the tenant has established a monetary claim **for \$730.00**. The tenant is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee.

A monetary order in the amount of **\$780.00** has been issued to the tenant and a copy of it must be served on the landlord. If the amount is not paid by the landlord, the Order may be filed in the Provincial (Small Claims) Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: February 10, 2011	
	Dispute Resolution Officer