

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

#### **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

## Introduction

Some documentary evidence and written arguments has been submitted prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the applicant the opportunity to testify at the hearing.

The respondent was served with notice of the hearing by personal service on January 12, 2011, but did not join the conference call that was set up for the hearing.

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

This is a request for an Order of Possession based on a Notice to End Tenancy for nonpayment of rent, and a request for a monetary order for \$625.00 in outstanding rent.

## Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on May 1, 2010 for a tenancy beginning May 1, 2010 for the monthly rent of \$1000.00 due on 1st of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, January 12, 2011 with an effective vacancy date of January 22, 2011 due to \$1000.00 in unpaid rent.

Page: 2

The landlord testified that the tenant(s) had failed to pay the rent owed for the month of January

2011 and that the tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by hand

on January 12, 2011.

The Notice states that the tenant(s) had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution

or the tenancy would end. The tenant(s) did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy

within five days.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all the evidence and testimony, and accept that the tenant has been served

with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. I accept the evidence before me that the

tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full with in the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of

the Act and although a portion of the rent has been paid, there is still \$625.00 outstanding.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of

the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two days after service on

the tenant(s). This order must be served on the tenant(s) and may be filed in the Supreme

Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 in the amount

of \$625.00 comprised of the outstanding January 2011 rent. This order must be served on the

tenant(s) and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of

that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy

Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: February 03, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch