



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      FF, MND, MNR, OPR, MNSD

### Introduction

Some documentary evidence and written arguments has been submitted prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the applicant the opportunity to testify at the hearing.

The respondents were served with notice of the hearing by registered mail that was mailed January 18, 2011, but did not join the conference call that was set up for the hearing.

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

This is a request for an Order of Possession based on a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent, a request for a monetary order for \$2400.00, a request that the respondent bear the \$50.00 cost of the filing fee, and a request for an order allowing the landlord to keep the full security deposit towards this claim.

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, January 4, 2011 with an effective vacancy date of January 14, 2011 due to \$800.00 in unpaid rent.

The landlord testified that the tenant(s) had failed to pay the rent owed for the month of December 2010 and that the tenants were served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was posted on the door of the tenant's rental unit on January 4, 2011 and therefore is deemed served three days later.

The Notice states that the tenant(s) had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant(s) did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

#### Analysis

I have reviewed all the evidence and testimony, and accept that the tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant(s) on January 7, 2011 and the effective date of the notice is amended to January 17, 2011 pursuant to section 53 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full with in the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*, and in fact and now the full January 2011, and February 2011 rent is also outstanding making a total of \$2400.00.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

#### Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the tenant(s)**. This order must be served on the tenant(s) and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 in the amount of \$2450.00 comprised of the outstanding rent, and the filing fee that was paid for

the application for dispute resolution. I therefore order that the landlord may retain the full security deposit of \$400.00 and I have issued a monetary order in the amount of \$2050.00.

This order must be served on the tenant(s) and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 10, 2011.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch