

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and to recover the filing fee.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing on February 1, 2011, in person, the Tenant did not appear.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Has the Tenant breached the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlord to an Order of Possession and monetary relief?

Background and Evidence

This tenancy began on September 14, 2010, on month to month basis, that monthly rent is \$800.00, and a security deposit of \$400.00 was paid on September 18, 2010.

Based on the testimony of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant was served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the "Notice") in person by Landlord. The Notice informed the Tenant that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The Notice also explains the Tenant had five days to dispute the Notice.

The Landlord testified that since the tenancy began, the Tenant has made partial payments of rent in the amount of \$400.00 on September 18, 2010, \$260.00 on November 16, 2010 and \$240.00 on December 4, 2010. The Landlord testified that the current unpaid rent is \$3,900.00.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above testimony, evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The Tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Page: 2

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days** after service on the Tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$3,950.00 comprised of outstanding rent of \$3,900.00 and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application.

I order that the Landlord retain the deposit of \$400.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the Landlord an **order** under section 67 for the balance due of **\$3,550.00**.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

Conclusion

The Tenant failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy. The Tenant is presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice to End Tenancy.

The Landlord is granted an Order of Possession, may keep the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and is granted a monetary order for the balance due of \$3,550.00.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 16, 2011.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch