

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

DECISION

Dispute Codes FF, MNSD

Introduction

A substantial amount of documentary evidence, photo evidence, and written arguments has been submitted prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the applicant the opportunity to testify at the hearing.

The respondent was served with notice of the hearing by registered mail that was mailed on November 26, 2010, but did not join the conference call that was set up for the hearing.

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

Issue(s) to be Decided

This is a request for an order for the return of a \$350.00 security deposit and recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee.

Decision and reasons

The tenant(s) have applied for the return of their security deposit; however the tenant(s) did not give the landlord(s) a forwarding address in writing, as required by the Residential Tenancy Act, prior to applying for dispute resolution.

Page: 2

Therefore at the time that the tenant(s) applied for dispute resolution, the landlord(s)

were under no obligation to return the security deposit and therefore this application is

premature.

The tenants must first serve the landlords with a forwarding address in writing, and then

they may apply for dispute resolution 15 days after a forwarding address in writing is

served on the landlord.

Conclusion

I dismiss this claim with leave to re-apply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: March 31, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch