



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

Decision

Dispute Codes:

MNR, MNDC, OPR, MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on the Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent dated February 4, 2011, a monetary order for rent owed and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by registered mail sent on February 15, 2011, the tenant did not appear.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be determined based on the testimony and the evidence are:

Whether or not the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession based on the 10-Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent

Whether or not the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation for rental arrears owed and loss of rent

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted into evidence a copy of the 10-Day Notice to End Tenancy dated February 4, 2011 with effective date of February 14, 2011, a copy of the resident ledger and a copy of the tenancy agreement. The landlord testified that the tenancy began on July 1, 2010, at which time the tenant paid a security deposit of \$467.50. The landlord testified that the tenant failed to pay rent for the February properly due on February 1, 2011. However, the tenant later paid all of the arrears owed for February and was issued a receipt for use and occupancy only. The landlord testified that the tenant has not vacated the unit and the landlord has requested an Order of Possession and monetary order rent and late fee for March 2010.

Analysis

Based on the testimony of the landlord, I find that the tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within 5 days and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$985.00 comprised of \$935.00 rent and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application. I order that the landlord retain the security deposit of \$467.50 in partial satisfaction of the claim leaving a balance due of \$517.50.

Conclusion

I hereby issue an Order of Possession in favour of the landlord effective two days after service on the tenant. This order must be served on the Respondent and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I hereby grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for \$517.50. This order must be served on the Respondent and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch