



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession for unpaid rent, a monetary order for unpaid rent and recovery of the filing fee. The landlord participated in the conference call hearing but the tenant did not. The landlord presented evidence that the tenant was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail. I found that the tenant had been properly served with notice of the landlord's claim and the date and time of the hearing and the hearing proceeded in their absence.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to any of the above under the Act.

Summary of Background and Evidence

This tenancy began December 1, 2009 with monthly rent of \$1150.00, the tenant paid a security deposit of \$575.00. On February 15, 2011 the landlord served the tenant with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent.

The landlord testified that the tenant owes the landlord rent for the following months: January 2011, \$615.00; February 2011, \$1150.00; March 2011 \$1176.00; April 2011 \$1176.00 for a total of \$4117.00 in unpaid rent. The landlord stated that the tenant gave the landlord notice on April 4, 2011 that he would be vacating the rental unit on April 30, 2011. To date the tenant has not made any efforts to pay the rent owed the landlord.

The landlord in this application is seeking a monetary order for \$4117.00 in unpaid rent and an order of possession effective 2 days after service upon the tenant.

Analysis

Based on the documentary evidence and testimony I find that the tenant was properly served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within 5 days of receiving the notice and did not apply for dispute

resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$4117.00 in unpaid rent.

The landlord is entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee.

Conclusion

I hereby grant the landlord an **Order of Possession**, effective **2 days** after service of the Order upon the tenant. This Order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the landlord has established a monetary claim for \$4117.00 in unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee. I order the landlord pursuant to s. 38(4) of the Act to keep the tenant's \$575.00 security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord a monetary order under section 67 for the balance due of **\$3592.00** ($\$4117.00 + \$50.00 = \$4167.00 - \$575.00 = \3592.00)

A monetary order in the amount of **\$3592.00** has been issued to the landlord and a copy of it must be served on the tenant. If the amount is not paid by the tenant, the Order may be filed in the Provincial (Small Claims) Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 14, 2010

Residential Tenancy Branch