

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an order of possession and to recover the filing fee.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by posting on the door on May 18, 2011, the Tenant did not appear. The Landlord provided sufficient delivery of the documents under Section 89 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act"). Thus the hearing proceeded in the Tenant's absence.

The Landlord appeared, gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present his evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and make submissions to me.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Has the Tenant breached the Residential Tenancy Act (the "Act") or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlord to an Order of Possession and to recover the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

This month to month tenancy began on March 1, 2011, monthly rent is \$700.00 and a security deposit of \$350.00 was paid by the Tenant on March 1, 2011.

The Landlord gave affirmed testimony and supplied evidence that the Tenant was served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the "Notice") on May 5, 2011, by posting on the door. The Notice stated the amount of unpaid rent was \$700.00.

The Notice informed the Tenant that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The Notice also explained the Tenant had five days to dispute the Notice.

Page: 2

I have no evidence before me that the Tenant applied to dispute the Notice. The Landlord testified that the Tenant has paid no rent since the issuance of the Notice.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The Tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days** after service on the Tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to the **\$50.00** fee paid by the Landlord for this application and I therefore grant the Landlord an order in the amount of **\$50.00**.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

Conclusion

The Landlord is granted an Order of Possession and is granted a monetary order for \$50.00.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: June 10, 2011.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch