



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to sections 55(4) and 74(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 07, 2011 the Landlord personally served the male Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding at the rental unit address. The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 07, 2011 the Landlord personally served the female Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding at the rental unit address.

Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find the Tenants have been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary Order for unpaid rent, pursuant to sections 55 and 67 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed the following evidence submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each Tenant
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement, which appears to be signed by both Tenants and which indicates that the tenancy began on May 01, 2011 and that the rent of \$600.00 per month is due on the first day of each month
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was signed by the Landlord and is dated May 27, 2011 which declares that the Tenants must vacate the rental unit by June 07, 2011 unless the Tenants pay the rent within

five days of receiving the Notice or submit an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice within five days of receiving the Notice. The Notice declares that the Tenants owe rent, in the amount of \$600.00, that was due on May 01, 2011

- A copy of a Proof of Service of the Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent, in which the Landlord stated that the Notice was personally served to the male Tenant on May 27, 2011. The Landlord and another individual signed the Proof of Service.

On the Application for Dispute Resolution, the Landlord indicates that the Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on May 27, 2011 and that the Tenants did not pay rent for two months.

Analysis

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenants entered into a tenancy agreement that required the Tenants to pay monthly rent of \$600.00 on the first day of each month.

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenants had not paid rent for May of 2011 by the time the Landlord filed this Application for Dispute Resolution. I have no evidence to show that the Tenants paid the outstanding rent since the Application for Dispute Resolution was filed and therefore I find that the Tenants owe rent in the amount of \$600.00 that was due on May 01, 2011.

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that a Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy was personally served to the male Tenant on May 27, 2011.

I have no evidence to show that the Tenants filed an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy. Pursuant to section 46(5) of the *Act*, I therefore find that the Tenants accepted that the tenancy ended on the declared effective date of the Notice, which was June 07, 2010.

Conclusion

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two days after service on the Tenants. This Order may be served on the Tenants, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$600.00, for unpaid rent from May and I grant the Landlord a monetary Order in that amount. In the event that the Tenants do not comply with this Order, it may be served on the Tenants,

filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 14, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch