

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This conference call hearing was convened in response to the landlord's application for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; a monetary order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement, unpaid rent, and to keep the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee associated with this application.

The landlord participated in the hearing and provided affirmed testimony. She testified that she served the Notice of a Dispute Resolution Hearing to the co-tenant in person on May 17th, 2011. The tenant did not participate and the hearing proceeded in the tenant's absence.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order, and if so for what amount?

Is the landlord entitled to keep all or part of the security deposit?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee?

Background and Evidence

The rental unit consists of an apartment in a multi-unit complex.

Pursuant to a written agreement, the tenancy started on November 1st, 2010. The rent of \$850.00 was payable on the first of each month and the tenant paid a security deposit of \$425.00.

The landlord testified that the since serving the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy, she received a payment of \$560.00 followed by a subsequent payment of \$630.00 towards the unpaid rent for May and June 2011. With two late fees of \$25.00 each, the landlord's updated monetary claim totalled \$560.00 for unpaid rent to date.

<u>Analysis</u>

I accept the landlord's undisputed testimony that she served the tenant with the Notice of Dispute Resolution in a proper manner pursuant to section 89 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*. I find that the tenant knew, or ought to have had knowledge of the date scheduled for this hearing.

Section 46(5) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* provides that if a tenant who has received a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent does not pay the rent or makes an application for dispute resolution within 5 days, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice and must vacate the rental unit by that date. The tenant in this matter has not filed an application for dispute resolution.

Based on the above and the available evidence, I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession and a monetary order to recover rental income owed by the tenants.

Conclusion

Page: 3

I grant the landlord an Order of Possession effective two days from the date the order is

served upon the tenant. This Order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British

Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I authorize the landlord to retain the tenant's \$425.00 security deposit for a balance

owing of \$135.00. Since the landlord was successful, I award the landlord recovery of

the \$50.00 filing fee. Pursuant to Section 67 of the Act, I grant the landlord a Monetary

Order totalling \$185.00.

This Order may be registered in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of

that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: June 07, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch