

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Tenant for a Monetary Order for the return of double his security deposit and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Landlord for this application.

No one was in attendance for either the Landlord or the Tenant.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Tenant entitled to a Monetary Order pursuant to Sections 67 of the *Residential Tenancy Act?*

Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided as there was no one in attendance at the scheduled hearing.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

In the absence of the applicant Tenant and respondent Landlord, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on

Page: 2

behalf of the applicant Tenant or respondent Landlord called into the hearing during this

time.

The Landlord submitted evidence which supports the security deposit had been

disbursed in a previous dispute resolution hearing.

Based on the aforementioned I find that the Tenant has not presented the merits of their

application and as the matter pertaining to this application has previously been decided,

I hereby dismissed this claim without leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Tenant's application, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: June 02, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch