



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to sections 55(4) and 74(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding in which an agent for the Landlord declared that on June 24, 2011 the agent for Landlord posted the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding at the rental unit. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find the Tenant has been duly served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent, pursuant to section 55 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed the following evidence submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement that appears to be signed by the Tenant, which indicates that the tenancy began on January 01, 2011 and that the rent of \$840.00 is due on the first day of each month
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was signed by an agent for the Landlord and is dated June 06, 2011 which declares that the Tenant must vacate the rental unit by June 19, 2011 unless the Tenant pays the rent within five days of receiving the Notice or submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice within five days of receiving the Notice. The Notice indicates that the Tenant owes rent, in the amount of \$840.00, that was due on June 01, 2011.

- A copy of a Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy, in which an agent for the Landlord declared that the agent for the Landlord posted the Notice on the Tenant's door on June 06, 2011 at 1 p.m., in the presence of his spouse, who also signed the Proof of Service.

On the Application for Dispute Resolution, the Landlord declared that the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent was posted on the door on June 06, 2011 and that the Tenant has not paid rent for June of 2011.

Analysis

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenant entered into a tenancy agreement, in which the Tenant agreed to pay monthly rent of \$840.00 on the first day of each month, and that the Tenant did not pay rent for June of 2011.

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy was posted at the rental unit on June 06, 2011.

I have no evidence to show that the Tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy or that he has paid the rent that was due on June 01, 2011. Pursuant to section 46(5) of the *Act*, I therefore find that the Tenant has accepted that the tenancy ended ten days after the Tenant is deemed to have received the Notice that was posted on June 06, 2011. On this basis, I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

Conclusion

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two days after service on the Tenant. This Order may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 19, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch