

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

## Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on August 10, 2011 the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act deems a document delivered in that manner to have been received (or served) on the fifth day after it was sent.

Based on the evidence and written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant was served as required by s. 89 of the Act with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent pursuant to sections 46, 55 and 67 of the Residential Tenancy Act (Act).

### Background and Evidence

The Landlord submitted the following documentary evidence:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on June 23, 2011 for a 6 month fixed term tenancy beginning June 30, 2011 for the monthly rent of \$870.00 due in advance on the 1st of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on August 2, 2011 with an effective vacancy date of August 13, 2011 due to \$870.00 in unpaid rent.

Page: 2

The evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenant failed to pay the rent owed for the month of August 2011 and that the Tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent in person on August 2, 2011. The Notice states that the Tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The Tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

#### Analysis

I have reviewed all of the documentary evidence and accept that the Tenant has been served with the Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The Notice was received by the Tenant on August 2, 2011 and the effective date of the Notice is August 13, 2011. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed for August 2011 within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*. Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. I also find that the Landlord is entitled to recover the unpaid rent for August 2011 in the amount of \$870.00.

## Conclusion

I find pursuant to s. 55(2)(b) of the Act that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the Tenant**. This Order must be served on the Tenant and may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I also find pursuant to s. 67 of the Act that the Landlord is entitled to a Monetary Order in the amount of **\$870.00**. This Order must be served on the Tenant and may be enforced in the Provincial (Small Claims) Court of British Columbia.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: August 17, 2011.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch