

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

Decision

Dispute Codes:

OPR, MNSD

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on the Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent dated July 6, 2011, a monetary order for rent owed and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by registered mail July 21, 2011, the tenant did not appear.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be determined based on the testimony and the evidence are:

Whether or not the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession based on the 10-Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent

Whether or not the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation for rental arrears owed and loss of rent

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted into evidence a copy of the 10-Day Notice to End Tenancy dated July 6, 2011 with effective date of July 17, 2011. The landlord testified that the tenancy began in 1997, at which time the tenant paid a security deposit of \$320.00. The landlord testified that when the tenant failed to pay rental arrears a Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent was issued showing \$1,920.00 as owed. The landlord is requesting to keep the tenant's security deposit of \$320.00 plus the \$41.35 interest for a total of \$361.35. The landlord testified that the tenant has not vacated the unit and the landlord has requested an Order of Possession.

Analysis

Based on the testimony of the landlord, I find that the tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by *posting it on the door. The tenant has not paid the arrears and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed

Page: 2

under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$361.35.

Conclusion

I hereby issue an Order of Possession in favour of the landlord effective two days after service on the tenant. This order must be served on the Respondent and is final and binding. If necessary it may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I hereby order that the landlord retain the tenant's security deposit and interest of \$361.35 in full satisfaction of the claim.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 22, 2011.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch