



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNR, MNSD, OPR, FF

### Introduction

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the Landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the “Act”) for Orders as follows:

1. An Order of Possession - Section 55;
2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent - Section 67;
3. An Order to retain the security deposit - Section 38; and
4. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application - Section 72.

I accept the Landlord’s evidence that the Tenant was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail in accordance with Section 89 of the Act. The Tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing.

The Landlord was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the notice to end tenancy valid?

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the Landlord entitled to the monetary amounts claimed?

### Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on April 1, 2010. Rent in the subsidized amount of \$520.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. At the outset of the tenancy, the Landlord collected a security deposit from the Tenant in the amount of \$454.00 based on the actual rent of the unit. The Tenant was noted to start moving articles out of the

unit in mid July 2011<sup>1111</sup> and when approached by the Landlord , the Tenant informed the Landlord that a move-out was in process but that appropriate notice would be given. The Tenant then failed to pay rent for the month of August 2011 and on August 17, 2011 the Landlord served the Tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent by posting the Notice on the door. The Tenant has not moved her belongings from the unit, has not paid rent for September and has not filed an Application for Dispute Resolution. The Landlord claims **\$520.00** for August 2011 rent, **\$60.00** for parking costs for June, July and August 2011, and September unsubsidized rent in the amount of **\$947.00**.

### Analysis

Section 46 of the Act requires that upon receipt of a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent the tenant must, within five days, either pay the full amount of the arrears indicated on the Notice or dispute the notice by filing an Application for Dispute Resolution with the Residential Tenancy Branch. If the tenant does neither of these two things, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Based on the Landlord's evidence I find that the Tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent and I find the notice to be valid. The Tenant has not filed an application to dispute the notice and has not paid the outstanding rent. Given these facts, I find that the Landlord is entitled to an **Order of Possession**. I also find that the Landlord has established a monetary claim for **\$1,527.00**. The Landlord is entitled to recovery of the \$50 filing fee, for a total entitlement of **\$1,577.00**.

The **security deposit** will be off-set from the award made herein.

### ***Calculation for Monetary Order***

Rental Arrears and parking	\$1,527.00
Filing Fees for the cost of this application	50.00
Less Security Deposit and interest <i>to date</i>	-454.00
<b>Total Monetary Award</b>	<b>\$1,123.00</b>

Conclusion

**I grant** an Order of Possession to the Landlord. The Tenant must be served with this **Order of Possession**. Should the Tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

**I order** that the Landlord retain the **deposit** and interest of \$454.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the Landlord an order under Section 67 of the Act for the balance due of **\$1,123.00**. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 19, 2011.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch

