



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR

### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of possession and a monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 13, 2011, the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail.

Section 90 of the Act determines that a document served in this manner is deemed to have been served five days later.

Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceedings documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary Order for unpaid rent, pursuant to sections 46, 55 and 67 of the Act.

### Background and Evidence

The Landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on July 31, 2007, indicating a monthly rent of \$800.00 due on the last day of the month; and

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on October 02, 2011 with a stated effective vacancy date of October 11, 2011, for \$825.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenant had failed to pay rent owed and was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it on the Tenant's door on October 02, 2011. The Landlord provided evidence that this was witnessed by a third party. A Notice served in this manner is deemed to have been served by October 05, 2011, which is three days from the date posted.

The Notice states that the Tenant had five days to pay the full amount of the outstanding rent, or apply for Dispute Resolution, or the tenancy would end from the service date. The Tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service. The deadline to do so was October 10, 2011. Although the Landlord indicated that the Tenant had until October 11, 2011 to vacate the premises, the earliest effective date is 10 days from the date of service, thus this is corrected to October 15, 2011 based on the service provisions set out in the Act and the Residential Tenancy Policy Guideline.

The Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution indicates that he is seeking \$800.00 in unpaid rent. This is less than the amount indicated on the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy. The outstanding amount claimed by the Landlord is the same amount as the monthly rent indicated in the tenancy agreement between the parties.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenant has been served with the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the Landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in the amount of \$800.00 within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on October 15, 2011, which is 10 days after the effective date of the Notice. Therefore, I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of possession and a monetary Order for unpaid rent.

### Conclusion

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service** on the Tenant and this Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to monetary Order pursuant to section 67 in the amount of **\$800.00** comprised of rent owed.

This Order must be served on the Tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 20, 2011.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch