## **DECISION**

# Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

#### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 25, 2011, the landlord served the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail.

Section 90 of the Act determines that a document served in this manner is deemed to have been served five days later.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary order for unpaid rent, pursuant to sections 46, 55 and 67 of the Act.

### Background and Evidence

The landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenants;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on July 17, 2007, indicating a monthly rent of \$920.00 due on the first day of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on October 2, 2011 with a stated effective vacancy date of October 12, 2011, for \$2,114.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenants had failed to pay all rent owed and were served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting on the door which was witnessed on October 2, 2011. Section 90 of the Act deems the tenants were served on October 5, 2011.

The Notice states that the tenants had five days from the date of service to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

## <u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with the notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay all the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of possession and a monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The tenancy agreement dated July 17, 2007 indicates that rent is \$920.00, due on the first day of each month. The 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent indicates that the amount of rent that was due on October 1, 2011, is \$2,114.00. The landlord application for dispute resolution is asking for a monetary order in the amount of \$1139.00, and it further indicates that they have received a partial payment of \$1,075.00.

Based on the foregoing, I am unable to determine the amount of rent that is actually owed, although I am satisfied that all rent has not been paid by the tenants. Therefore, I dismiss the landlord's application for a monetary order with leave to reapply.

#### Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service** on the tenants and the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I dismiss the landlord's application for a monetary order with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 31, 2011.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch