



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act). The landlord applied for an order of possession pursuant to a notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent.

I note that the landlord reversed the first and last name of the tenant on their application. As this was clearly a clerical error, I amended the application to properly identify the tenant.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding, which declares that on October 19, 2011 the landlord personally served the tenant with notice of the direct request proceeding.

Based on the landlord's written submissions, I find that the tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?

Background and Evidence

The Landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- a copy of a residential tenancy agreement, signed by the tenant on March 17, 2011 and by the landlord on March 22, 2011, indicating a monthly rent of \$775 due on the first of each month;
- a copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, issued on October 6, 2011, with an effective vacancy date of October 19, 2011, for failure to pay rent in the amount of \$114 that was due on October 1, 2011;

- a copy of the Proof of Service of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities, showing that the tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it on the tenant's door in the presence of a witness on October 6, 2011; and
- a copy of the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution, filed October 18, 2011, indicating that the tenant had not paid rent in full for the month of October 2011.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and I accept that the tenant has been served with the notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on October 9, 2011.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed within the five days granted under section 46(4) of the Act. I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice.

I therefore find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days after service on the tenant. The tenant must be served with the order of possession. This order is final and binding. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 25, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch