

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes

OPR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 20, 2011 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by posting it on the rental unit door. Section 90 of the Act determines that the documents are deemed to have been received three days later.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent?

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on March 22, 2011, indicating a monthly rent of \$835.00 including parking, due on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of every month;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on September 9, 2011 with a stated effective vacancy date of September 22, 2011, for \$1,670.00 in unpaid rent as of September 1, 2011;

- A copy of a Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice indicating the landlord posted the 10 Day Notice on the tenant's door on September 9, 2011 in the presence of a witness; and,
- A copy of the tenant's ledger showing the tenant failed to pay rent for August 2011 and September 2011 when due and then made a payment of \$860.00 on October 3, 2011.

The 10 Day Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the landlord. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full or dispute the Notice within 5 days of receiving the Notice as permitted under section 46(4) of the *Act*.

I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy would end on the effective date of the Notice. Therefore, I find that the tenancy ended September 22, 2011 and the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service upon the tenant. The Order of Possession may be filed in The Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that court.

#### Conclusion

The tenancy has ended and the landlord is provided an Order of Possession effective two (2) days after service upon the tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 26, 2011.

**Residential Tenancy Branch**