



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

Decision

Dispute Codes: MNR, OPR, MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on the Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent dated August 17, 2011, a monetary order for rent owed and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim.

This matter was originally considered through a Direct Request Process on September 6, 2011 but the dispute resolution officer ordered that the matter be heard through a regular participatory hearing which was scheduled to be heard today.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by registered mail sent on September 13, 2011, the tenant did not appear.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be determined based on the testimony and the evidence are: Whether or not the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and whether or not the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation for the rent owed..

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted into evidence a copy of the 10-Day Notice to End Tenancy and a copy of the tenancy agreement. The landlord testified that the tenancy began on June 1, 2011 at which time the tenant paid a security deposit of \$375.00. The landlord testified that the tenant failed to pay \$688.00 rent for the month of July, and \$688.00 for the month of August 2011 and has not paid any rent since then. The landlord testified that the tenant has not vacated the unit and the landlord has requested an Order of Possession.

Analysis

Based on the testimony of the landlord, I find that the tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it on the door. The tenant has not paid the arrears and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective

date of the Notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$1,426.00 comprised of \$1,376.00 accrued rental arrears and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application. I order that the landlord retain the security deposit of \$375.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim leaving a balance due of \$1,051.00.

Conclusion

I hereby issue an Order of Possession in favour of the landlord effective two days after service on the tenant. This order must be served on the Respondent and is final and binding. If necessary it may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I hereby grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for \$1,051.00. This order must be served on the Respondent and is final and binding. If necessary it may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 04, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch