

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession for unpaid rent.

The landlord participated in the conference call hearing but the tenant did not. The landlord presented evidence that the tenant was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail. I found that the tenant had been properly served with notice of the landlord's claim and the date and time of the hearing and the hearing proceeded in their absence.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to any of the above under the Act.

Background and Evidence

On October 9, 2011 the landlord served the tenant with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent; the tenant has not filed to dispute this notice.

The landlord testified that the tenant remains in the rental unit and has not paid the rent September, October or November 2011. The landlord stated that he is not interested in a monetary order but that he is seeking an order of possession effective 2 days after service upon the tenant and that all he wants is to get possession of the rental unit

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the documentary evidence and testimony I find that the tenant was properly served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within 5 days of receiving the notice and did not apply for dispute resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have

Page: 2

accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

Conclusion

I hereby grant the landlord an **Order of Possession**, effective **2 days** after service of the Order upon the tenant(s). This Order must be served on the tenant(s) and may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Manufactured Home Park Act*.

Dated: November 3, 2011.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch