



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes O

Introduction

Some documentary evidence and written arguments has been submitted prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the applicant the opportunity to testify at the hearing.

The applicant(s) testified that the respondent(s) was served with notice of the hearing by posting on the door of the rental unit, however the respondent(s) did not join the conference call that was set up for the hearing.

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

Issue(s) to be Decided

This is a request for an Order of Possession

Background and Evidence

The applicant testified that:

- In a previous hearing held on November 7, 2011 the tenant gave notice to vacate the rental unit on November 30, 2011.
- He is therefore requesting an Order of Possession for November 30, 2011.

Analysis

In the decision of the Dispute Resolution Officer dated November 8, 2011, it states in bold **“The tenant in this hearing did provide the landlord with notice that he would vacate the rental unit on November 30, 2011”**

Therefore it is my finding that the tenant has given notice to end this tenancy and the landlord does have the right to an Order of Possession.

Conclusion

I have issued an Order of Possession for 1 p.m. on November 30, 2011.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 29, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch