



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

### **Dispute Codes:**

OPR, MNR, MNDC, FF

### **Introduction**

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) for Orders as follows:

1. An Order of Possession - Section 55;
2. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent - Section 67;
3. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application - Section 72.

I accept the landlord's evidence that despite the tenant having been served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail in accordance with Section 89 of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act) the tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing.

The landlord was given full opportunity to be heard, to present evidence and to make submissions.

### **Issue(s) to be Decided**

Is the notice to end tenancy valid?

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the landlord entitled to the monetary amounts claimed?

### **Background and Evidence**

Rent in the amount of \$850 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant failed to pay rent in the month of October 2011 and on October 02, 2011 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant did not pay the rent for October, but subsequently paid \$870 on November 02,

2011 – inclusive of a late fee of \$20. The quantum of the landlord's monetary claim is for the rent arrears of \$850, plus \$20 late fee in the sum of **\$870**.

### **Analysis**

Based on the landlord's testimony I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent and I find the notice to be valid. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent arrears and has not applied for Dispute Resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice.

Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an **Order of Possession**.

I further find that the landlord has established a monetary claim for **\$870** in unpaid rent and a late fee of \$20. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50 filing fee, for a total entitlement of **\$920**.

### **Conclusion**

**I grant** an Order of Possession to the landlord effective 2 days from the day it is served on the tenant. The tenant must be served with this **Order of Possession**. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

**I grant** the landlord an order under Section 67 of the Act for the amount of **\$920**. If necessary, this order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 21, 2011

---

Residential Tenancy Branch