

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes:

OPR, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing was scheduled in response to the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution, in which the landlord has made application for an Order of Possession for Unpaid Rent and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of this Application for Dispute Resolution.

Both parties were present at the hearing. At the start of the hearing I introduced myself and the participants. The hearing process was explained, evidence was reviewed and the parties were provided with an opportunity to ask questions about the hearing process. They were provided with the opportunity to submit documentary evidence prior to this hearing, all of which has been reviewed, to present affirmed oral testimony and to make submissions during the hearing. I have considered all of the evidence and testimony provided.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of possession for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to filing fee costs?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy commenced in May 2010; rent is \$725.00 due on or before the first day of each month.

The tenant confirmed receipt on November 8, 2011, of a Ten (10) Day Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent, which had an effective date of November 18, 2011.

The Notice indicated that the Notice would be automatically cancelled if the landlord received \$725.00 within five days after the tenant was assumed to have received the Notice. The Notice also indicated that the tenant was presumed to have accepted that the tenancy is ending and that the tenant must move out of the rental by the date set out

Page: 2

in the Notice unless the tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution within five days.

The tenant confirmed that he has not paid the rent due and that he will vacate the rental unit by tomorrow at 12 noon; the tenant agreed to supply the landlord with the keys to the unit, at that time.

Analysis

I find that the tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy that required the tenant to vacate the rental unit on November 18, 2011, pursuant to section 46 of the Act.

The tenant confirmed that he did not pay the rent or dispute the Notice. Therefore, pursuant to section 46(5) of the Act, I find that the tenants accepted that the tenancy has ended. On this basis I will grant the landlord an Order of Possession that is effective two days after the order is served.

I find that the landlord's application has merit and that the landlord is entitled to recover the filing fee from the tenants for the cost of this Application for Dispute Resolution.

Conclusion

The landlord has been granted an Order of Possession that is effective 2 days after service to the tenants. This Order may be served on the tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$50.00 in compensation for the filing fee paid by the landlord for this Application for Dispute Resolution.

Based on these determinations I grant the landlord a monetary Order in the sum of \$50.00. In the event that the tenants do not comply with this Order, it may be served on the tenants, filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 07, 2011.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch