

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNSD, FF

This is an application filed by the Tenant for a monetary order for the return of the security deposit and recovery of the filing fee.

The Tenant attended the hearing by conference call and gave testimony. The Landlord did not attend.

At the beginning of the hearing the named Landlord, O.S. attended the hearing by conference call and stated that he was the caretaker at the time that the Tenant was in residence, but that he no longer works for the Landlord and has no authority to appear on their behalf. The Tenant states that he was not aware that the caretaker no longer worked for the Landlord and does not know who the Landlord is. The Tenant did not submit any documentary or direct testimony evidence to establish who the Landlord is.

I am not satisfied that the Tenant served the Landlord with the notice of hearing documents and as such, dismiss the Tenant's application with leave to re-apply. Leave to re-apply is not an extension of any applicable limitation period.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 12, 2011.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch