



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNDC MNSD FF

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant for double recovery of the security deposit. An agent for the tenant and both named respondents participated in the teleconference hearing.

### Preliminary Issue – Incorrectly Named Respondents

At the outset of the hearing, the respondents, MM and SM, submitted that they were incorrectly named as the landlord in this application. The respondents were employees of the landlord during the tenant's tenancy, but they are no longer employed by the landlord. The landlord is properly identified on the tenancy agreement.

I accepted the evidence of the respondents, and found that the tenant had incorrectly named MM and SM as respondents in this application.

### Conclusion

The tenant's application as against MM and SM is dismissed. It is open to the tenant to apply for recovery of the security deposit as against the landlord identified on the tenancy agreement.

As the application was not successful, the tenant is not entitled to recovery of the filing fee for the cost of the application.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 15, 2011.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch