



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Tenant to obtain a Monetary Order for the return of double her security deposit and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Landlords for this application.

The Respondent Landlords appeared however no one appeared on behalf of the applicant Tenant.

Issue(s) to be Decided

1. Has the Tenant met the burden of proof to obtain a Monetary Order pursuant to sections 67 and 72 of the Act?

Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided in support of the landlord's claim as no one attended on behalf of the landlord.

Analysis

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing.

In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing. In the absence of the applicant Tenant, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of the applicant Tenant called into the hearing during this time. Based on the aforementioned I find that the Tenant has failed to present the merits of her application and the application is dismissed.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Tenant's application, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 20, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch