



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution seeking a monetary order.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was unattended

The landlord testified the notice of hearing documents were served, pursuant to Section 59(3) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* by registered mail on November 10, 2011 in accordance with Section 89. As per Section 90, the documents are deemed received by the landlord on the 5th day after it was mailed.

I also note that the hearing had been re-scheduled for administrative reasons by the Residential Tenancy Branch (RTB) and new notices for call in procedures were sent from the RTB directly to each participant. I have confirmed with the Dispute Resolution Officer who was conducting a hearing at the time of this hearing using the original call in codes that she had no participants from this hearing call in to her hearing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to a monetary order for rent due to a short notice to end the tenancy; for all or part of the security deposit and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Sections 38, 45, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

Conclusion

In the absence of the applicant landlord, I dismiss this Application in its entirety, with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 23, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch