

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

## Dispute Codes:

OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

#### Introduction

This hearing was scheduled in response to the landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution, in which the landlord has requested an Order of Possession for Unpaid Rent, a monetary Order for unpaid rent, to retain all or part of the security deposit, and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of this Application for Dispute Resolution.

The landlord provided affirmed testimony that on December 23, 2011, copies of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing were sent to the tenant via registered mail at the address noted on the Application. A Canada Post tracking number and receipt was provided as evidence of service.

These documents are deemed to have been served on the 5<sup>th</sup> day after mailing, in accordance with section 89 and 90 of the Act; however the tenant did not appear at the hearing.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of possession for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary Order for unpaid rent?

May the landlord retain the deposit paid by the tenant?

Is the landlord entitled to filing fee costs?

## Background and Evidence

The tenancy commenced on March 31, 2011; rent is \$1,650.00 per month, due on the 31 day of each month. A deposit in the sum of \$825.00 was paid.

The landlord stated that on November 20, 2011 at 10 Day Notice Ending Tenancy for Unpaid Rent was posted to the tenant's door. On December 13, 2011, a second Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent, which had an effective date of

Page: 2

December 23, 2011, was personally served by the landlord with a witness present, at approximately 6 p.m., at the rental unit.

The Notice indicated that the Notice would be automatically cancelled if the landlord received \$1,650.00, plus \$800.00 owed from November, 2011, within five days after the tenant was assumed to have received the Notice. The Notice also indicated that the tenant was presumed to have accepted that the tenancy was ending and that the tenant must move out of the rental by the date set out in the Notice unless the tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution within five days.

The landlord stated the tenant has not paid \$400.00 owed in November, 2011, and has not paid December 2011 or January 2012, rent owed in the sum of \$1,650.00 per month.

## Analysis

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy that required the tenant to vacate the rental unit on December 23, 2011, pursuant to section 46 of the Act.

Section 46 of the Act stipulates that a tenant has five (5) days from the date of receiving the Notice to End Tenancy to either pay the outstanding rent or to file an Application for Dispute Resolution to dispute the Notice. In the circumstances before me I have no evidence that the tenant exercised either of these rights, therefore; pursuant to section 46(5) of the Act, I find that the tenant accepted that the tenancy has ended. On this basis I will grant the landlord an Order of Possession that is effective two days after service to the tenant.

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the tenant has not paid rent in the amount of \$3,700.00 from November 2011 to January 2012, inclusive and that the landlord is entitled to compensation in that amount.

I find that the landlord's application has merit and that the landlord is entitled to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of this Application for Dispute Resolution.

I find that the landlord is entitled to retain the tenant's security deposit in the amount of \$825.00, in partial satisfaction of the monetary claim.

## Conclusion

The landlord has been granted an Order of Possession that is effective 2 days after service to the tenant. This Order may be served on the tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

Page: 3

I find that the landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$3,750.00, which is comprised of unpaid rent and \$50.00 in compensation for the filing fee paid by the landlord for this Application for Dispute Resolution.

The landlord will be retaining the tenant's security deposit in the amount of \$825.00, in partial satisfaction of the monetary claim.

Based on these determinations I grant the landlord a monetary Order for the balance of \$2,925.00. In the event that the tenant does not comply with this Order, it may be served on the tenant, filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 10, 2012.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch