



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      MNSD, FF

This matter was set for hearing by telephone conference call at 11:00 A.M. on January 27, 2012.

Two individuals signed in the teleconference PJ and GG, stating that they were representing the parties. PG and GG had not provided any written authorization from the Applicant or the Respondent prior to the hearing; as a result I did not take their testimony as I could not confirm that they had the authority to represent either party.

The line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and the Applicant and the Respondent did not call into the hearing during this time. Therefore, as the Applicant did not attend the hearing by 11:10 A.M., I dismiss the claim with leave to reapply. This is not an extension of any statutory deadline.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 30, 2012.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch