



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR MNR

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent. Despite having been served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail on December 30, 2011, the tenant did not participate in the conference call hearing.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?  
Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

### Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on September 20, 2008. Rent in the amount of \$304 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant failed to pay full rent for several months, and on December 10, 2011 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant further failed to pay rent in the month of January 2012. The landlord has claimed a total of \$1100.68 in unpaid rent and lost revenue.

### Analysis

Based on the landlord's testimony I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and has not applied for dispute resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$1100.68 in unpaid rent.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days from service. The tenant must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

The landlord is entitled to \$1100.68 in unpaid rent and lost revenue. I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$1100.68. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 12, 2012.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch