



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNDC, MNSD, RPP

### Decision and reasons

The applicant originally filed for dispute resolution on January 17, 2012 claiming a monetary claim of \$625.00 and requesting the return of personal property, and return of the security deposit.

At the original date set for the hearing of February 1, 2012, the applicant's legal counsel requested an adjournment as she had only gotten approval to act as legal counsel the day prior.

An adjournment was granted and the new hearing scheduled for February 27, 2012.

5 days before today's hearing applicant amended the application on February 22, 2012 increasing the claim from \$625.00 to \$11,825.00.

I am not willing to accept such a late amendment to the application for dispute resolution and I therefore dismiss this application with leave to reapply.

If the applicants wish to pursue the larger amount they are free to file a new application.

During the conference call the respondents stated that they have come to a written settlement with the applicant, however counsel for the applicant was not aware of the settlement and the applicant was not present for today's hearing. Therefore the parties have agreed to contact each other to discuss the matter.

Conclusion

As stated above, this application is dismissed in full with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 27, 2012.

---

Residential Tenancy Branch