

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNSD, MNR, FF

This hearing was convened in response to an application by the Landlord pursuant to the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act") for Orders including the following:

- 1. A Monetary Order for unpaid rent Section 67;
- 2. A Monetary Order for compensation for loss Section 67;
- 3. An Order of Possession Section 55;
- 4. An Order to retain the security deposit Section 38; and
- 5. An Order to recover the filing fee for this application Section 72.

The Tenant did not appear at the Hearing. At the outset of the Hearing, the Landlord stated that the Tenant had moved out of the unit on or about January 6, 2012 and that an Order of Possession was no longer required. The Landlord stated that the application for dispute resolution (the "Application") and Notice of Hearing were served on the Tenant by registered mail on January 20, 2012 to the dispute address.

The Act provides the following requirements for service of the Application

**89** (1) An application for dispute resolution or a decision of the director to proceed with a review under Division 2 of Part 5, when required to be given to one party by another, must be given in one of the following ways:

(a) by leaving a copy with the person;

(b) if the person is a landlord, by leaving a copy with an agent of the landlord;

(c) by sending a copy by registered mail <u>to the address at</u> <u>which the person resides</u> or, if the person is a landlord, to the address at which the person carries on business as a landlord;

(d) if the person is a tenant, by sending a copy by registered mail to a forwarding address provided by the tenant;

(e) as ordered by the director under section 71 (1) [director's orders: delivery and service of documents].

As the Tenant, at the time of service of the Application, was no longer residing at the dispute address, I find that service has not been accomplished in accordance with Section 89 of the Act and I therefore dismiss the application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 15, 2012.

**Residential Tenancy Branch**