

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing was convened by way of conference call in repose to the landlords application for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and utilities; for a Monetary Order for unpaid rent or utilities; for an Order permitting the landlord to keep all or part of the tenants security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of this application. At the outset of the hearing the landlords' agent withdrew the application for a Monetary Order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, regulations or tenancy agreement;

Service of the hearing documents, by the landlords to the tenant, was done in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, sent via registered mail on February 02, 2012. Mail receipt numbers were provided in the landlord's documentary evidence. The tenant was deemed to be served the hearing documents on the fifth day after they were mailed as per section 90(a) of the *Act*.

The landlords and their agent appeared, gave sworn testimony, were provided the opportunity to present evidence orally, in writing, and in documentary form. There was no appearance for the tenant, despite being served notice of this hearing in accordance with the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

All of the testimony and documentary evidence was carefully considered.

Issue(s) to be Decided

- Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession due to unpaid rent?
- Is the landlord entitled to a monetary Order to recover unpaid rent?
- Is the landlord entitled to keep the security deposit?

Background and Evidence

This month to month tenancy started on February 21, 2009. Rent for this unit is \$850.00 per month. Rent is due on the first of each month. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$425.00 on February 23, 2009.

The landlords' agent testifies that the tenants failed to pay rent for January, 2012 of \$850.00. The landlord issued a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for unpaid rent on January 18, 2012. This was posted to the tenant's door and was deemed to have been served three days after posting. This Notice states that the tenant owes rent of \$850.00. The tenant had five days to either pay the outstanding rent, apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end on January 28, 2012. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent or dispute the Notice within five days. Since that time the tenant has also failed to pay rent for February, 2012. The total amount of outstanding rent is now \$1,700.00.

The landlords have applied to retain the tenants' security deposit in partial payment towards the rent arrears. The landlords have also applied for an Order of Possession to take effect within two days of service.

Analysis

Section 26 of the Act states:

A tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement, whether or not the landlord complies with this Act, the regulations or the tenancy agreement, unless the tenant has a right under this Act to deduct all or a portion of the rent.

Consequently I am satisfied with the undisputed evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay rent for January and February, 2012 and the landlord is entitled to recover rent arrears to the sum of \$1,700.00 pursuant to s.67 of the *Act*.

I order the landlords pursuant to s. 38(4)(b) of the *Act* to keep the tenant's security deposit of **\$425.00** in partial payment of the rent arrears.

As the landlords have been successful in this matter, the landlords are also entitled to recover the **\$50.00** filing fee for this proceeding pursuant to s. 72(1) of the *Act*.

The landlords will receive a Monetary Order for the balance owing as follows:

Outstanding rent	\$1,700.00
Less Security Deposit	(-\$425.00)
Plus filing fee	\$50.00
Total amount due to the landlords	\$1,325.00

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy pursuant to section 88 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on January 21, 2012 and the effective date of the notice is amended to January 31, 2012 pursuant to section 53 of the *Act*. The Notice states that the tenant has five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within five days nor apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

Page: 4

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed, under section

46(5) of the Act, to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the

Notice and grant the landlord an order of possession pursuant to section 55 of the Act.

Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND in favor of the landlord's monetary claim. A copy of the landlord's

decision will be accompanied by a Monetary Order for \$1,325.00. The order must be

served on the Respondent and is enforceable through the Provincial Court as an order

of that Court.

I HEREBY ISSUE an Order of Possession in favour of the landlords effective two days

after service on the tenant. This order must be served on the Respondent and may be

filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: February 20, 2012.	

Residential Tenancy Branch