

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD, FF

Introduction

Some documentary evidence and written arguments has been submitted by the parties prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the parties the opportunity to give their evidence orally and the parties were given the opportunity to ask questions of the other parties.

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

Issue(s) to be Decided

This is a request for an order for return of double the \$642.50 security deposit for a total of \$1285.00, and a request for recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee.

Decision and reasons

This tenancy ended on October 31, 2011 and the landlord admits to having received a forwarding address in writing on December 1, 2011.

To date the landlord has not returned the security deposit and therefore the tenant is requesting an order for return of double security deposit.

At the hearing the landlord stated that the deposit was not returned due to an error on their part, and therefore they do not dispute this claim in any way, and are willing to pay double the security deposit to the tenant.

I therefore allow the tenants full claim and also order recovery of the filing fee.

Conclusion

I have issued a monetary order for the respondents to pay \$1335.00 to the applicant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 07, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch