

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# **DECISION**

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

#### Introduction

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent and utility bills.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on February 23, 2012, the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via personal service.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent, or for unpaid utility bills, and to a monetary Order for unpaid rent or utility bills, pursuant to sections 46, 55 and 67 of the Act.

## Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;
- Copies of utility bills;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on September 10, 2011, indicating a monthly rent of \$800.00 due on the first day of the month; and

 A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on February 14, 2012, with a stated effective vacancy date of February 25, 2012, for \$800.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant had failed to pay all rent owed and was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting on the door, which was witnessed on February 14, 2012. Section 90 of the Act deems the tenant was served on February 17, 2012.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end 10 days from the service date. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

The landlord has also requested a monetary order for unpaid utility bills.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with the notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of possession and a monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The direct request process is intended for claims for unpaid rent only. Under section 46(6) if the landlord provides a written demand for payment of the utilities and the utilities are unpaid more than 30 days after the written demand, then the landlord may treat the unpaid utilities as unpaid rent, issue a notice to end the tenancy and claim for these. However, in this instance the landlord has provided no evidence that such a written demand was made. Therefore, the landlord's claim for unpaid utilities is dismissed, with leave to reapply.

## **Conclusion**

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service** on the tenant and this Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court. I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to section 67 in the amount of **\$800.00** comprised of rent owed.

This Order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is final and binding on the parties, except as provided under the Act, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 02, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch