

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNR, MNDC, MNSD, OPR, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlords for an order of possession based on unpaid rent, a monetary order for unpaid rent and to recover the filing fee for the Application.

One of the Landlords appeared, gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me.

The appearing Landlord testified they served the Tenants with the Application and Notice of Hearing in person, on February 26, 2012. Despite this the Tenants did not appear at the hearing. I find the Tenants have been duly served in accordance with the Act.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the rules of procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Have the Tenants breached the Act or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlords to an Order of Possession and monetary relief?

Background and Evidence

Based on the affirmed testimony of the Landlord, I find that the Tenants were served in person with a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent on February 7, 2012.

The Tenants have not paid all the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and are therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

The appearing Landlord testified that the Tenants vacated the rental unit on March 2, 2012.

Page: 2

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Although the Landlords are entitled to an order of possession in these circumstances, the Tenants have vacated the rental unit and therefore, an order of possession is no longer required.

I find that the Tenants have failed to pay rent under the Act and tenancy agreement.

I find the Landlords have established a total monetary claim of **\$550.00** comprised of the balance of rent owed for February 2012, and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application.

I grant the Landlords an order under section 67 for the balance due of **\$550.00**. This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is final and binding on the parties, except as provided under the Act, and is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: March 13, 2012.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch