



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD

Introduction

This matter dealt with an application by the Tenant for the return of a security deposit and a pet deposit.

The Tenant said he served the Landlord with the Application and Notice of Hearing (the "hearing package") by registered mail on or about December 12, 2011. Based on the evidence of the Tenant, I find that the Landlord was served with the Tenant's hearing package as required by s. 89 of the Act and the hearing proceeded with both the Landlord and the Tenant in attendance.

At the start of the conference call it was determined that the issue of the Tenant's security deposit and pet deposit was previously heard on November 9, 2011 and a decision granting the Landlord an order to retain the Tenant's security deposit of \$600.00 and the Tenant's pet deposit of \$600.00 with interest in the amount of \$1204.52. As well the previous DRO granted the Landlord a monetary Order for the balance of unpaid rent in the amount of \$645.48. Consequently the matters of the Tenant's security and pet deposits have been dealt with in the decision of November 22, 2011. As I cannot overturn or change a previous decision and this application by the Tenant is for the same deposits from the same tenancy which was previously dealt with, I dismiss the Tenant's application without leave to reapply.

Further in reviewing the previous decision and Order an error was discovered in the address on the decision and the order. A correction has been requested to be done by the previous DRO. The previous DRO will mail the corrected decision and Order to the respective parties.

Conclusion

The Tenant's application is dismissed without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dispute Resolution Officer