

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR MNDC FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order. An agent for the landlord and both tenants participated in the teleconference hearing. One of the tenants stated that he had moved out of the rental unit, but acknowledged that he was named as a tenant on the tenancy agreement.

At the outset of the hearing, each party confirmed that they had received the other party's evidence. Neither party raised any issues regarding service of the application or the evidence. I have reviewed all testimonial and other evidence. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began on February 1, 2011. Rent in the amount of \$975 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant failed to pay rent in the month of February 2012, and on February 7, 2012 the landlord served the tenant with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant made partial payments toward the outstanding balance, but did not pay the full amount owing within five days after having been served with the notice. At the time of the hearing, the tenant still owed \$375 toward unpaid rent.

The tenant did not dispute these facts.

Page: 2

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the landlord's testimony I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and has not applied for dispute resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$375 in unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50 filing fee.

Conclusion

I grant the landlord an order of possession effective two days from service. The tenant must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$425. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: March 12, 2012.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch