



DECISION ON REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION

Dispute Codes: FF MNR MNSD OPR

The applicant has requested a clarification to the Residential Tenancy Branch decision dated April 4, 2012.

Section 78 of *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)* enables the Residential Tenancy Branch to clarify a decision or order.

The applicant requests "Conclusion – page 3 without leave to reapply by – XXXXXXXXX Arbitrator & By XXXXXXXX– D.R.O. Please take the word out – without leave to reapply.

The following information was submitted to support the request:

- A written submission from the landlord indicating that despite receiving two decisions on December 2, 2011 and January 31, 2012 that dismissed his Applications for Dispute Resolution without leave to reapply, he reapplied and was granted an order of possession and a monetary order against the tenants;
- That on February 27, 2012 he obtained a decision and order granting him possession and a monetary order against the tenants;
- That when he tried to obtain a writ of possession through the Supreme Court of British Columbia the decision and orders were set aside, resulting, he states from the wording in the December 2, 2011 and January 31, 2012 decisions not allowing him to reapply.

I find the evidence does not support the request, in that the landlord is not seeking any kind of clarification but he is rather requesting to change a decision. The original decision dated January 31, 2012 stands.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: April 17, 2012

Residential Tenancy Branch