

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing in person on March 23, 2012, the tenant did not appear. I find that the tenant has been duly served in accordance with the Act.

The landlord's agent appeared, gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession for unpaid rent? Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

Based on the testimony of landlord's agent, I find that the tenant was served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent on March 2, 2012, by posting the document to the door of the tenant's residence. The notice informed the tenant that the notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The notice also explains the tenant had five days to dispute the notice.

The landlord testified that on March 13, 2012 and April 3, 2012, the tenant made payments towards outstanding rent and the balance owing is \$500.00 in unpaid rent and \$40.00 in late fees. The landlord stated the receipt issued to the tenant was for use and occupation only.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the above, the testimony, and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days** after service on the tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$590.00** comprised of rent, late fees and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application. I grant the landlord an order under section 67.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that court.

Conclusion

The tenant failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the notice to end tenancy. The tenant is presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice to end tenancy.

The landlord is granted an order of possession and I grant a monetary order for the above amount.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 10, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch