



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of a Direct Request proceeding, pursuant to Section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* in response to an application made by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request which declares that on May 11, 2012 the landlord served one of the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The landlord also submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request which declares that on May 11, 2012 the landlord served the other tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. Section 90 of the *Act* provides that a document is deemed to have been served 5 days after mailing. Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have both been served with the Notice of Direct Request proceeding requesting an Order of Possession and a monetary order.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent?
Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the landlord and the tenants on March 18, 2012 for a tenancy commencing on March 1, 2012, for the monthly rent of \$950.00 payable on the last day of each month preceding;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities which was issued on May 2, 2012 with an effective date of vacancy of May 13, 2012, due to \$1,750.00 in unpaid rent that was due on April 30, 2012 (both pages of the 2-page form have been provided);
- A copy of a Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities that states that the tenants were served with the notice on May 2,

2012 by personally handing the notice to one of the tenants named in the tenancy agreement;

- The Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution dated May 9, 2012 which states that the tenants have not paid the full amount of rent for the month of April or May, 2012, leaving a balance outstanding of \$800.00 for April's rent and \$950.00 for May's rent, for a total of \$1,750.00.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with the notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord, which was personally handed to one of the tenants named in the tenancy agreement on May 2, 2012.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed within the 5 days provided under Section 46(4) of the *Act*. I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under Section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice.

I therefore find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

Conclusion

For the reasons set out above, I hereby grant an Order of Possession in favour of the landlord effective 2 days after service on the tenant.

I further grant a monetary order in the amount of \$1,750.00.00 in favour of the landlord pursuant to Section 67 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

This order is final and binding on the parties and may be enforced.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 17, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch