



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDC

Introduction

This hearing dealt with the tenant's Application for Dispute Resolution seeking a monetary order.

The hearing was conducted via teleconference and was unattended.

The hearing was originally convened on January 11, 2012 but as both parties had served additional evidence within 5 days of the original hearing the parties agreed to an adjournment. The hearing was re-convened on April 19, 2012.

However in the original hearing I did not provide the tenant Applicant with appropriate service instructions and she failed to serve the landlord with notice of the reconvened hearing set for April 19, 2012, as such I adjourned the hearing again to be heard on May 14, 2012 with instructions to the tenant to serve the landlord with notice of hearing within 3 days of her receipt of them.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the tenant is entitled to a monetary order for double the amount of the security deposit, pursuant to Sections 38, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

Conclusion

In the absence of the tenant applicant, I dismiss this Application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 14, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch