



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes: OPR, MNR

This matter proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on May 9, 2012, the landlord personally served tenant "JL" with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding.

Section 90 of the Act provides that a document served in this manner is deemed to have been received on that same day.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been duly served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an order of possession?

Is the landlord entitled to a monetary order for unpaid rent?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding;
- A copy of the residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on June 30, 2011, indicating that the tenants are obligated to pay \$1,195.00 in rent in advance on the first day of each month;
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent issued on May 2, 2012, with a stated effective vacancy date of May 12, 2012, for \$1,195.00 in unpaid rent which was due and payable on May 1, 2012, and

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice to End Tenancy showing that the landlord served the notice to end tenancy in-person on tenant "JC" on May 2, 2012.

Section 90 of the Act provides that as the notice to end tenancy was served in-person on May 2, 2012, the tenant is deemed to have received the notice on that same day.

The Notice restates section 46(4) of the Act which provides that the tenants had 5 days to pay the rent in full or apply for Dispute Resolution. The tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within 5 days from the date of service and the landlord alleged that the tenants did not pay the rental arrears.

Analysis

I find that tenant "JC" received the notice to end tenancy on May 2, 2012. I accept the landlord's evidence and I find that the tenants neither paid the rental arrears, nor applied to dispute the notice. The tenants are therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. I grant the landlord an order of possession which must be served on the tenants. Should the tenants fail to comply, the order may be filed for enforcement in the Supreme Court.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay a total of \$1,195.00 in rent for May 2012. I find that the landlord is entitled to recover the rental arrears and I grant the landlord a monetary order for \$1,195.00.

Conclusion

I hereby issue an order of possession in favour of the landlord effective not later than **two (2) days** after service on the tenants. This order must be served on the tenants. Should the tenants fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

Pursuant to section 67 of the Act, I hereby issue a monetary order in favour of the landlord in the amount of \$1,195.00. This order may be served on the tenants, filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 14, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch