

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, FF

### <u>Introduction</u>

This conference call hearing was convened in response to the landlord's application for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; a Monetary Order for unpaid rent; and to recover the filing fee associated with this application.

The landlord participated in the hearing and provided affirmed testimony. He testified that he served the Notice of a Dispute Resolution Hearing to the tenant by way of registered mail sent on April 17, 2012, and provided a Canada Post tracking number. The tenant did not participate and the hearing proceeded in the tenant's absence.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of Possession?

Is the landlord entitled to a Monetary Order, and if so for what amount?

Is the landlord entitled to recover the filing fee?

#### Background and Evidence

The rental unit consists of part of a basement suite in a single detached home. The tenancy started on February 1, 2012. The rent is \$525.00 per month and the tenant paid a security deposit of \$270.00.

Through the assistance of an interpreter, the landlord testified that the tenant did not pay rent for April 2012. He stated that the tenant sent him a text message stating that she was moving out and claiming monetary compensation in addition to the return of her security deposit. The landlord stated that he has not seen the tenant since, and that some of her personal belongings are still on the property.

In his documentary evidence, the landlord provided a copy of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy served on the tenant on April 2, 2012 by posting the notice on the tenant's door.

## <u>Analysis</u>

I accept the landlord's undisputed testimony that he served the tenant with the Notice of Dispute Resolution in a proper manner pursuant to section 89 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*. I find that the tenant knew, or ought to have had knowledge of the date scheduled for this hearing.

Section 46(5) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* provides that if a tenant who has received a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent does not pay the rent or makes an application for dispute resolution within 5 days, the tenant is conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ends on the effective date of the notice and must vacate the rental unit by that date. The tenant in this matter has not filed an application for dispute resolution. The landlord's Notice to End Tenancy is valid and on that basis the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

Based on the evidence I also accept that the tenant did not pay rent for April 2012 and find that the landlord is entitled to recover the loss of rental income as claimed.

#### Conclusion

Page: 3

I grant the landlord an Order of Possession effective two days from the date the order is

served upon the tenant.

This Order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an

Order of that Court.

The landlord established a claim of \$525.00. I authorize the landlord to retain the

tenant's \$270.00 security deposit for a balance owing of \$255.00. Since the landlord

was successful, I award the landlord recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee. Pursuant to

Section 67 of the Act, I grant the landlord a Monetary Order totalling \$305.00.

This Order may be registered in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of

that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: May 03, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch