

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant for double recovery of the security deposit. Both the tenant and the landlord participated in the teleconference hearing.

At the outset of the hearing, the landlord confirmed that he received the tenant's application and evidence. I have reviewed all testimony and other evidence. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this decision.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to double recovery of the security deposit?

Background and Evidence

The tenancy began at the end of March 2011. At the outset of the tenancy, the tenant paid the landlord a security deposit of \$175. The tenancy ended on or about October 24, 2011. The landlord received the tenant's written forwarding address in the tenant's application for recovery of the security deposit, which the tenant served on the landlord in March 2012. The landlord has not returned the security deposit or applied for dispute resolution to keep the deposit.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 38 of the Residential Tenancy Act requires that 15 days after the later of the end of tenancy and the tenant providing the landlord with a written forwarding address, the landlord must repay the security deposit or make an application for dispute resolution. If the landlord fails to do so, then the tenant is entitled to recovery of double the base amount of the security deposit.

Page: 2

In this case, the tenancy ended on or about October 24, 2011, and the landlord received the tenant's forwarding address in writing in March 2012. The landlord has failed to repay the security deposit or make an application for dispute resolution within 15 days of receiving the tenant's forwarding address in writing. I therefore find that the tenant has established a claim for double recovery of her security deposit, in the amount of \$350.

Conclusion

I grant the tenant an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$350. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 15, 2012.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch