



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on May 1, 2012, at 5:00 p.m. the Landlords served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding in person at the rental unit. Based on the written submissions of the Landlords, I find that the Tenant has been sufficiently served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Are the Landlords entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order pursuant to section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlords:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties for a fixed term tenancy agreement that began on August 1, 2011 and switched to a month to month tenancy beginning February 1, 2012, for the monthly rent of \$790.00 due on 1st of the month and a security deposit of \$395.00 was paid; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, April 13, 2012, with an effective vacancy date listed as April 23, 2012, due to \$510.00 in unpaid rent that was due on April 1, 2012.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlords indicates that the Tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent on April 13, 2012 at 2:30 p.m. when it was posted to the Tenant's door in the presence of a witness.

Analysis

Order of Possession - I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlords. The notice is deemed to have been received by the Tenant on April 16, 2012, three days after it was posted to the door, and the effective date of the notice is April 26, 2012, pursuant to section 90 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice and I hereby grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

Monetary Order – The evidence supports that the Tenant has failed to pay the unpaid rent of \$510.00, in violation of section 26 of the *Act* which provides that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement. As per the aforementioned I approve the Landlords' request for a Monetary Order for **\$510.00**.

Any deposits currently held in trust by the Landlords are to be administered in accordance with Section 38 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND that the Landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the Tenant**. This Order is legally binding and must be served upon the Tenant.

The Landlords' decision will be accompanied by a Monetary Order for **\$510.00**. This Order is legally binding and must be served upon the Tenant.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 03, 2012.

Residential Tenancy Branch