

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Office of Housing and Construction Standards

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This was a reconvened hearing which dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlords seeking an Order for Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent. The landlord originally applied through the direct request process which, upon review, was scheduled for this conference call hearing in accordance with section 74 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

No one was in attendance for either the Landlords or the Tenant.

Issue(s) to be Decided

1. Is the application dismissed with leave to reapply?

Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided as there was no one in attendance at the scheduled hearing.

<u>Analysis</u>

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

Rule 10.1 of the Rules of Procedure provides as follows:

10.1 Commencement of the hearing The hearing must commence at the scheduled time unless otherwise decided by the arbitrator. The arbitrator may conduct the hearing in the absence of a party and may make a decision or dismiss the application, with or without leave to re-apply.

Page: 2

In the absence of the applicant Landlord and respondent Tenant, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of the applicant Landlords or respondent Tenant called into the hearing during this time. Based on the aforementioned the Landlord has not presented the merits of their application and the application is hereby dismissed with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Landlords' application with leave to reapply.

This dismissal does not extend any applicable time limits set out under the Act.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 28, 2012.	
	Residential Tenancy Branch