

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Office of Housing and Construction Standards

# DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNDC, FF

## Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession for unpaid rent, a monetary order for unpaid rent, money owed or compensation due to damage or loss and recovery of the filing fee.

The landlord participated in the conference call hearing but the tenant did not. The landlord presented evidence that the tenant was served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing in person May 26, 2012. I found that the tenant had been properly served with notice of the landlord's claim and the date and time of the hearing and the hearing proceeded in their absence.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to any of the above under the Act.

#### Background and Evidence

This tenancy began February 1, 2007 with monthly rent of \$620.00 and the tenant paid a security deposit of \$360.00 and a pet damage deposit of \$200.00.

On May 2, 2012 the landlord served the tenant with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent; the tenant has not filed to dispute this notice.

The landlord testified that the tenant has since paid most of the rent that was due and at this time owes the landlord \$400.00 in unpaid rent and a \$20.00 late fee. The landlord stated that when the tenant made the rent payments after being served the notice to end tenancy, the landlord provided the tenant with a receipt stating 'for use and occupancy only'.

The landlord stated that the tenant has promised to pay the balance of the rent due on June 16, 2012 and that the landlord would still like an order of possession however if the rent is paid in full the landlord will allow the tenancy to continue. <u>Analysis</u>

Based on the documentary evidence and testimony of the parties I find that the tenant was properly served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within 5 days of receiving the notice and did not apply for dispute resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession and a monetary order for unpaid rent and late fees.

Accordingly I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary order for \$420.00.

As the landlord has been successful in their application the landlord is entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee.

#### <u>Conclusion</u>

I hereby grant the landlord an **Order of Possession** effective not later than **1:00 PM**, **June 18, 2012**. This Order must be served on the tenant(s) and may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the landlord has established a monetary claim for \$420.00 in unpaid rent and late fees. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee. I grant the landlord a monetary order under section 67 for the amount of **\$470.00**.

If the amount is not paid by the tenant(s), the Order may be filed in the Provincial (Small Claims) Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 14, 2012

Residential Tenancy Branch